## NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

STATE OF LOUISIANA

COURT OF APPEAL

FIRST CIRCUIT

NUMBER 2006 CA 0457

KENDALL BICKHAM

**VERSUS** 

GAYLORD CONTAINER CORPORATION AND HEALTHSOURCE PROVIDENT

Judgment Rendered: December 28, 2006.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

On Appeal from the 22nd Judicial District Court In and for the Parish of Washington, State of Louisiana Trial Court No. 81,214

Honorable William J. Knight, Judge Presiding

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Stephen A. Yazbeck

Covington, LA

Why wh

Kevin M. McGlone

James M. Garner Darnell Bludworth

New Orleans, LA

Craig J. Robichaux Mandeville, LA

Attorney for Plaintiff/Appellant

Kendall Bickham

Attorneys for Defendant/Appellee

Deutsche Bank Trust Company

Americas

Attorney for Defendant/Appellee

Gaylord Container Corporation

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: CARTER, C.J., WHIPPLE AND MCDONALD, JJ.

## CARTER, C.J.

Kendall Bickham appeals a judgment sustaining a peremptory exception raising the objection of no cause of action and dismissing his claims against Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas. After reviewing the record herein, we agree that Bickham has failed to allege specific facts within the four corners of the petition and amended petition to show that he has a cause of action upon which relief and judgment may be granted against Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas. Cf. Wells v. Flitter, 05-2525 (La. App. 1 Cir. 9/27/06), \_\_\_ So.2d \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the trial court in accordance with URCA Rule 2-16.2A(6). Costs of this appeal are assessed to Kendall Bickham.

## AFFIRMED.

The trial court's judgment also sustained a dilatory exception raising the objection of vagueness. However, Bickham has not complained of that ruling on appeal. Moreover, consideration of that issue is mooted by our decision regarding the peremptory exception raising the objection of no cause of action.